1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 443. United States Attorney BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634 Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	.052)	
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13		`	CR No. 08-0025 WHA
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
15	Plaintiff,		EXCLUDING TIME FROM MAY 14, 2008 THROUGH JUNE 24, 2008
16	V.		711110 C 011 V 01 (2 2 1, 2000
17	REMSEN BENEDICT,		
18	Defendant.))	
19			
20	On May 14, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that		
21	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from May 14, 2008 through June		
22	24, 2008. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for		
23	effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence to afford		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

defense counsel time to review the images at issue and for the parties to thereafter engage in 1 2 meaningful plea negotiations. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 3 4 SO STIPULATED: 5 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 6 United States Attorney 7 DATED: May 30, 2008 8 /s/ Denise Barton **DENISE MARIE BARTON** 9 Assistant United States Attorney 10 11 DATED: May 30, 2008 GEORGE C. BOISSEAU 12 **GEOFFREY DUNHAM** Attorney for REMSEN BENEDICT 13 14 15 As the Court found on May 14, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the 16 17 defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from May 14, 2008 to June 24, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 18 19 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due 20 21 diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 22 23 SO ORDERED. 24 June 19, 2008. 25 DATED: 26 United States District Court Judge 27 28